1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ex rel. MARY HENDOW and
11	JULIE ALBERTSON,
12	Plaintiffs, No. CIV S-03-0457 GEB DAD
13	v.
14	UNIVERSITY OF PHOENIX,
15	Defendant. <u>ORDER</u>
16	
17	This case came before the court on April 9, 2009, for hearing on relators' motion
18	to compel production of post - March 2005 documents (Doc. No. 213) and defendant's motion to
19	compel further responses to interrogatories and requests for production of documents (Doc. No.
20	214). Michael Rubin and Peter E. Leckman appeared for the relators. Jared Toffer and James
21	Zelenay appeared for defendant.
22	For the reasons stated in open court, relators' motion to compel production of
23	post - March 2005 documents (Doc. No. 213) was denied save and except defendant was ordered
24	to produce any documents from the period March 2005 through April 1, 2009, reflecting
25	complaints or concerns expressed by enrollment counselors or their supervisors that the
26	compensation plan then in effect was essentially based on whether enrollment quotas were met to

the extent such documents exist at, or were forwarded to, defendant's human resources division or any other management level office at corporate headquarters in Phoenix. The documents in question shall be produced to counsel for relators by May 8, 2009. Defendant's motion to compel further responses to interrogatories and requests for production of documents (Doc. No. 214) was denied IT IS ORDERED. DATED: May 14, 2009. UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE Ddad1/orders.civil/hendow0457.oah.040909